ORDER LIST

MONDAY, JUNE 1, 1992

APPEAL -- SUMMARY DISPOSITION

91-1615 TURNER, JESSE, ET AL. V. ARKANSAS, ET AL.

The judgment is affirmed. Justice White and Justice Blackmun would note probable jurisdiction and set the case for oral argument.

ORDERS IN PENDING CASES

No. --- JANE DOE V. UNITED STATES

The motion of petitioner for leave to file a petition for a writ of certioari under seal is denied. Petitioner may file a redacted petition for a writ of certiorari on or before June 19, 1992.

D-1089 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF BRUCE D. WELLMAN
It having been reported to the Court that Bruce D.
Wellman, of Dixon, Illinois, has been disbarred from the
practice of law by the Supreme Court of Illinois and
this Court by order of March 2, 1992, having suspended
the said Bruce D. Wellman from the practice of law in
this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him
to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued;

It is ordered that the said Bruce D. Wellman be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1096 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF MAX CARLETON GARRICK, JR.

It having been reported to the Court that Max

Carleton Garrick, Jr., of Whittier, California, has

resigned from the practice of law before the Supreme

Court of California and this Court by order of March 23,

1992, having suspended the said Max Carleton Garrick,

Jr., from the practice of law in this Court and directed

that a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he

should not be disbarred:

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Max Carleton Garrick,

Jr., be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court

and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys

admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1102 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF KURT R. CONNER

It having been reported to the Court that Kurt R.

Conner, of North Wilkesboro, North Carolina, has been suspended from the practice of law by the Supreme Court of North Carolina and this Court by order of March 30, 1992, having suspended the said Kurt R. Conner from the practice of law in this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Kurt R. Conner be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1107 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF ROBERT B. CHATZ

It having been reported to the Court that Robert B. Chatz, of Northbrook, Illinois, has been disbarred from the practice of law by the Supreme Court of Illinois and this Court by order of April 6, 1992, having suspended the said Robert B. Chatz from the practice of law in this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Robert B. Chatz be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

- D-1129 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF DAVID PAUL SMITH

 It is ordered that David Paul Smith, of Englewood,

 Colorado, be suspended from the practice of law in this

 Court and that a rule issue, returnable within forty

 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be

 disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.
- D-1130 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF JAMES R. BANDY

 It is ordered that James R. Bandy, of River Rouge,

 Michigan, be suspended from the practice of law in this

 Court and that a rule issue, returnable within forty

 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be

 disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.

65 ORIG. TEXAS V. NEW MEXICO

The motion of the River Master for approval of fees and expenses is granted and the River Master is awarded \$4,208.44 for the period January 1 - March 31, 1992, to

be paid equally by the parties.

91-794 HARPER, HENRY, ET AL. V. VIRGINIA DEPT. OF TAXATION

The order granting certiorari entered on May 18,

1992 is amended to read as follows: The petition for a

writ of certiorari is granted limited to Question 1

presented by the petition.

91-1780 COLORADO V. GASKINS, JOHN H. (A-669)

The application for stay addressed to Justice Scalia and referred to the Court is denied.

91-1862 GANTT, DAVID, ETC., ET AL. V. SKELOS, DEAN, ETC., ET AL.

The motion of appellants to expedite consideration

of the appeal is denied.

91-7925 YAGOW, MORRIS V. MUSICH, VERNA, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in* forma pauperis is denied. Petitioner is allowed until June 22, 1992, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33 of the Rules of this Court. Justice Blackmun and Justice Stevens, dissenting: For the reasons expressed in *Brown* v. *Herald Co., Inc.*, 464 U.S. 928 (1983), we would deny the petition for a writ of certiorari without reaching the merits of the motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

APPEAL -- JURISDICTION NOTED

91-1618 VOINOVICH, GOV. OF OHIO V. QUILTER, BARNEY, ET AL.

In this case probable jurisdiction is noted.

CERTIORARI DENIED

- 91-383 PENNSYLVANIA DEPT. OF REV. V. BLOOMINGDALE'S BY MAIL, LTD.
 91-664 MILLS, AVERY V. UNITED STATES
 91-1284 INTL. BHD., LOCAL 111 V. CO-UTE ELEC., ET AL.
 91-1324) CONSOL PENNSYLVANIA COAL CO. V. HUGHES, JAMES, ET AL. 91-1561) HUGHES, JAMES, ET AL. V. CONSOL PENNSYLVANIA COAL CO. 91-1681) POLLOCK, EWING, ET AL. V. HUGHES, JAMES E., ET AL.
 91-1366 DARTEZ, JAMES, ET AL. V. OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC., ET AL.
- 91-1380 DAVID, SHMUEL V. UNITED STATES
- 91-1441 SHWC, INC., ET AL. V. FDIC, ETC.
- 91-1452 CHICAGO TRIBUNE CO. V. NLRB, ET AL.
- 91-1463 SWORDS, LEONORA, ET AL. V. FED. S&L INS. CORP.
- 91-1483 IDAHO V. DOE, ET AL.
- 91-1497) JOINER, JIM, ET AL. V. ROBERTS, JIM, ET AL.
- 91-1648) ROBERTS, JIM, ET AL. V. JOINER, JIM, ET AL.
- 91-1587 TONEY, ANTHONY J. V. ALABAMA
- 91-1604 WEIDMAN, RICHARD, ET AL. V. WEIDMAN, WILLIAM
- 91-1608) WINSTON, SAMUEL, ET AL. V. CHILDREN & YOUTH SERV.

)

91-1669) CHILDREN & YOUTH SERV. V. WINSTON, SAMUEL, ET AL.

- 91-1609 ANIS, MAGDY V. NJ COMM. ON ATTY. ADV.
- 91-1610) JENNINGS, WILLIAM F. V. JOSHUA INDEPEND. SCH., ET AL.
- 91-1611) GLADDEN, DON V. JOSHUA INDEPEND. SCH., ET AL.
- 91-1612 THOMAS, MARY V. HOFFMANN-LaROCHE, INC.,
- 91-1616 POTTER, ROBERT O. V. CALIFORNIA
- 91-1624 KOLOKOFF, LLOYD, ET UX. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-1625 CAIN PARTNERSHIP, LTD. V. PIONEER INVEST. SERV.
- 91-1630 KING, ROBERT E. V. NCNB TEXAS NAT. BANK, ET AL.
- 91-1631 ST. MARY'S HOSPITAL V. ARKANSAS BLUE CROSS/SHIELD
- 91-1637 ALFRED, GURNEY V. UNITED PARCEL SERV., ET AL.
- 91-1638 EVANS, EDDIE V. CITY OF TULSA
- 91-1639 NELSON, MICHAEL A. V. OFFICE OF SAM NUNN
- 91-1643) SIMON WIESENTHAL CTR., ET AL V. McCALDEN, VIVIANA

)

- 91-1699) McCALDEN, VIVIANA V. SIMON WIESENTHAL CTR., ET AL.
- 91-1652 REYES, IGNACIA D., ET AL. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-1653 H. J., INC., ET AL. V. NORTHWESTERN BELL TEL. CO.
- 91-1662 JADER FUEL CO. V. WILLIAMS, BILLIE
- 91-1676 KNIGIN, KENNETH S. V. MANGANO, GUY J., ETC. ET AL.
- 91-1683 POLYAK, EARLENE V. HULEN, FRANK, ET AL.
- 91-1685 TIMMS, ESTELLA V. COUGHLIN, MICHAEL
- 91-1722 BARROW, WILLIAM D. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-1734 BERRIDGE, PAUL J., ET UX. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-1764 CUDA, THOMAS, ET UX. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-5465 PATTAN, JOSEPH V. UNITED STATES

- 91-7274 WILLIAMS, TERRANCE V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7316 MARTINI, ROBERT JOHN V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7500 McGEARY, EDWARD B. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7530 REVELS, SHARMELLA V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7545) NAGI, TOUFIC V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7564) BARASH, ROBERT F. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7546 RYAN, JOHN C. V. U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
- 91-7555 KITCHENS, WILLIAM J. V. TEXAS
- 91-7569 DAMRON, KENNETH M. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7593 EDGAR, BETTY V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7603 BENTSON, STEPHEN V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7766 HALL, LAUREN V. TURNAGE, THOMAS
- 91-7767 FRALEY, DENNIS V. CHARLES ROBERTS GANG, ET AL.
- 91-7839 FRANCHI, THOMAS C. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7851 COFIELD, PAUL E. V. OPM
- 91-7886 TUCKER, JAMES V. BORGERT, WARDEN
- 91-7889 GRANT, BRUCE C. V. INDIANA
- 91-7890 KRAUL, KARL, ET AL. V. MAINE BONDING & CASUALTY CO.
- 91-7891 SMITH, RICHARD T. V. OKLAHOMA
- 91-7893 STOIANOFF, CARROLL V. FRANCIS, ARTHUR, ET AL.
- 91-7897 MORRISON, DERRIC V. MISSOURI, ET AL.
- 91-7902 THOMAS, CARL S. V. BOARD OF LAW EXAMINERS
- 91-7908 GARCIA, LUIS A. V. CALIFORNIA

- 91-7909 KINNEY, GREGORY N. V. INDIANA YOUTH CENTER, ET AL.
- 91-7910 GRIFFIN, DAVID B. V. WILLIAMS, K. K., ET AL.
- 91-7916 ROTT, VIRGIL V. CONNECTICUT GENERAL LIFE
- 91-7918 CONWAY-EL, GERALD L. V. JONES, SUPT.
- 91-7923 JONES, EDWIN L. V. INDIANAPOLIS POLICE, ET AL.
- 91-7924 TAYLOR, JOSEPH V. DUCKWORTH, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 91-7927 THOMAS-EL, GHEMELLE V. MISSOURI
- 91-7931 MERCIER, HARRY E. V. TRIPPETT, WARDEN
- 91-7937 MONTGOMERY, ERNEST V. WELLS, WARDEN
- 91-7939 TURNER, REGINALD E. V. OKLAHOMA
- 91-7942 GARNER, SIM V. ROTH, WARDEN
- 91-7949 ESPENSHADE, DONALD V. PHILADELPHIA HIGHER ED. AGENCY
- 91-7950 ANDRISANI, ALBERT V. VOGEL, MIRIAM A., ET AL.
- 91-7953 ROY, MICHAEL V. SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT.
- 91-7954 CHASE, NORMAN K. V. OREGON
- 91-7957 BRIGAERTS, ROBERT V. CARDOZA, SHERIFF, ET AL.
- 91-7969 HOFFMAN, DENNIS D. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-7973 FRANKLIN, GEORGE T. V. OHIO
- 91-7979 CHASE, NORMAN K. V. BOARD OF PAROLE
- 91-8017 SINITO, THOMAS V. KINDT, WARDEN
- 91-8046 CLEMAS, THOMAS F. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8054 THAKKAR, RAJESH V. DeBEVOISE, DICKINSON
- 91-8059 WEBB, JERRY L. V. UNITED STATES

- 91-8062 CASELLA, ANTONIO V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8073 LANDT, SARAH V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8085 CASTILLO-MORALES, JOSE V. IRS, ET AL.
- 91-8087 WINKLEMAN, STEVEN V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8088 TERRY, GAYLON C. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8093 GARRETT, T. A. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8098 WYATT, CASPER V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8107 GREEN, CYNTHIA K. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8109 McLEOD, JOSEPH D. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8113 BISHOP, WALTER L. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8114 TALLMAN, MYRON V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8118 HARRIS, BILLY G. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8120 TROUT, HOWARD N. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8122 STUTTS, HENRY E. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8125 CATES, EDWARD L. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8135 ALLISON, ELMER D. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8148 MATHENEY, ALAN V. INDIANA
- 91-8155 PEREZ-DOMINGUEZ, MANUEL V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8158 ELDER, BRENDA G. V. UNITED STATES
- 91-8159 FERRIOL, LOUIS V. UNITED STATES

The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied.

90-6315 PEREZ, RICKY V. LOUISIANA

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Justice Blackmun, Justice O'Connor and Justice Souter

would grant the petition, vacate the judgment and remand
the case to the Supreme Court of Louisiana for further

consideration in light of *Foucha* v. *Louisiana*, 503 U.S.

(1992).

91-1447 BINGHAM, WANDA V. INLAND DIV. OF GEN. MOTORS

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Justice Blackmun would grant the petition, vacate the judgment and remand the case to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit for further consideration in light of the 1991 Amendments to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(c).

91-1667 CENTRA, ETC., ET AL. V. McDONALD, CLIFTON, ET AL.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Justice White would grant certiorari.

MANDAMUS DENIED

91-7926 IN RE ARNETT LEE THOMAS

The petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

REHEARINGS DENIED

91-7247 WILLIAMSON, RONALD K. V. OKLAHOMA

91-7648 ANDERSON, FREDERICK M. V. UNITED STATES

91-7655 LLOYD, JOHNNIE V. UNITED STATES

The petitions for rehearing are denied.

RECESS ORDER

The Court will take a recess from Monday, June 1, 1992 until Monday, June 8, 1992.

MOTIONS FOR ADMISSION

The written motions for admission of the following lawyers to the Bar of this Court are granted: